

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/722,246 11/24/2003 James Say TS-02-18 6045 EXAMINER 10/12/2006 30349 JACKSON & CO., LLP NATNITHITHADHA, NAVIN 6114 LA SALLE AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 507 OAKLAND, CA 94611-2802 3735

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		.Lu	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/722,246	SAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Navin Natnithithadha	3735	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/722,246

Art Unit: 3735

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Page 2

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 11, 14, 15, 24, and 30 have been amended. Claims 1-10 have been

cancelled. Claims 11-30 are pending.

2. The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejections to claims 11-23 are WITHDRAWN

in view of the Amendment.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-30 as rejected under 35 U.S.C.

102(e) by Gross et al, US 6,275,717 B1 ("Gross") have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-30 as rejected under 35 U.S.C.

102(e) by Gross et al, US 5,800,420 A ("Gross '420") have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 28 June 2006, with respect to claims

11-23 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under the judicially

created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over

claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,175,752 B1 in view of Gross of claims 11-23 has been

withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/722,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 3735

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 11-18 and 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shichiri et al, EP 0098592 ("Shichiri").

Claim 11-18 and 20-22: Shichiri teaches a sensor control system (see figs. 1 and 2), comprising: an electrochemical analyte sensor (electrode means including a needle electrode) 13; a mounting unit 12; and a housing (watch-shaped transmitting assembly) 2 attached or mounted to the removable mounting unit (belt) 12, the housing 12 including a transmitter unit 11 for wirelessly transmitting data to a receiver 5, e.g. infusion device/insulin pump 28, for receiving data (see fig. 1A and 1B).

Claims 23-30: Shichiri teaches a method of using the sensor control system in Figures 1 and 2, comprising: transcutaneously positioning an electrochemical sensor 13 in a patient (see fig. 1); attaching a mounting unit 12 onto the skin of the patient (see fig. 1); and operatively coupling a housing 2 including a wireless transmitter unit 23 to the mounting unit 12 so that the wireless transmitter unit 23 is configured for electrical communication with the sensor (housing 2 is connected to the sensor 13, thus communicates with the sensor 13); detecting (using electrode 13) and transmitting (using transmitter unit 11) the signal representative of the analyte level.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shichiri, as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Gross et al, US 5,800,420 A ("Gross").

Claim 19: Shichiri does not teach an adhesive layer disposed on a surface of the mounting unit and an opening configured to receive a portion of the sensor extending from the skin of the patient. However, Gross teaches a system comprising: an adhesive layer disposed on a surface of a mounting unit (first part) 52 (see col. 17, II. 66-67). Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shichiri's mounting unit (belt) 12 to have an adhesive

Application/Control Number: 10/722,246 Page 5

Art Unit: 3735

layer in order to provide stability to the housing 2, thus decreasing error in the electrode measurement of glucose concentration.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Navin Natnithithadha whose telephone number is (571) 272-4732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Navin Natnithithadha

Patent Examiner – GAU 3735

02 October 2006